IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

WRIT PETITION NO. 183 OF 2005

DR.SARANG KANEKAR,SANQUELIM GOA. ....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF GOA,THROUGH CHIEF SECRETARY AND 2 ORS., ...Respondents

Mr. M. S. Sonak, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. S. S. Kantak, Advocate General with Mr. M. S. Joshi, Govt. Advocate for the respondents No.1 and 2.

Mrs. A. A. Agni, Advocate for the respondent No.3.

Coram:- D. D. SINHA &
N. A. BRITTO, JJ.

Date:- 1st December, 2005

P.C.

Heard Mr. Sonak, the learned Counsel for the petitioner, Mr. Kantak, the learned Advocate General for the respondents No.1 and 2 and Mrs. Agni, the learned Counsel for the respondent No.3.

The learned Counsel for the petitioner states that the cause of action in the present petition has come to an end and hence, the petition has become infructuous.

In the circumstances, the writ petition is dismissed as infructuous. No order as to costs.

D. D. SINHA, J.

N. A. BRITTO, J.

ssm.